

The Hon. Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
CHESTER G. JACKSON,
Defendant.

No. 2:24-cr-00038-RAJ

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of Forfeiture (the "Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Chester Jackson's interest in a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment) in the amount of \$576,805.57, representing the proceeds Defendant obtained from his commission of *Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate for the following reasons:

1. The proceeds of *Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);

1 2. In his Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit this \$576,805.57 sum of
2 money pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. §2461(c), as it reflects
3 proceeds Defendant obtained from his commission of *Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud*,
4 in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment, to which he
5 pleaded guilty, Dkt. Nos. 1, 73 ¶ 13; and

6 3. The forfeiture of this \$576,805.57 sum of money is personal to Defendant,
7 and, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), no
8 third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

9
10 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

11 1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and
12 his Plea Agreement, Defendant’s interest in the above-identified \$576,805.57 sum of
13 money is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

14 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A)–(B), this Order will become
15 final as to Defendant at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence; and
16 it will be included in the judgment;

17 3. No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party
18 other than the United States;

19 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this \$576,805.57
20 sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at
21 any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$576,805.57; and

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 5. The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing
2 this Order, as necessary.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 DATED this 8th day of August, 2025.

7
8 

9 The Honorable Richard A. Jones
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27